SHORT-TERM CORROSION RESPONSE OF METALS IN LIME MORTAR
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Abstract. Even though the corrosion of steel in concrete is very well described, the corrosion processes in lime environment studied very rarely. This environment is obviously also basic and its pH decreases in time, but the obtained results indicate important differences in behaviour of these two systems. Corrosion response of carbon steel, zinc plated steel, copper, brass and lead placed in lime mortar was studied by help of SEM microscopy.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The problems related to the steel reinforcement corrosion in concrete are of crucial importance with respect to lifetime of majority of contemporary concrete structures\cite{1}. There the most important corrosion-related feature is high pH, which, together with low potential, ensures formation of a passive layer of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} or Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} on steel. The pH of concrete pore solution is controlled by soluble species present in concrete – portlandite (Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}) and eventually free alkalis (NaOH, KOH)\cite{2}. In case that portlandite is the controlling species, the pH of pore solution is 12.5, corresponding to solubility constant of portlandite: the presence of alkalis can increase pH to higher level (ca. 13). Obviously, also other components of pore solution are of importance towards the steel corrosion – especially chloride ions are widely studied\cite{3}.

The present paper is inspired by demand on knowledge of corrosion behavior of metallic materials in lime mortar; the demand came from restores dealing with mosaics. Modern mosaics (from 19\textsuperscript{th} and 20\textsuperscript{th} century) have been frequently created in atelier by help of a metal frame, mortar and glass or stone tesseraes, and after the hardening were installed\cite{4}. The metal frames, as well as other mosaics’ components, are frequently subjected to exterior conditions inducing complex degradation processes. The goal of the research was to evaluate corrosion behavior of carbon steel, copper, brass and lead in lime mortar environment. It is similar to the concrete environment – the pH is obviously also controlled by portlandite, but higher permeability of lime systems, responsible for their faster carbonation, makes the conditions somewhat different. Corrosion tests are commonly performed by help of an accelerated test\cite{5}; here just a short term response of metals to the lime environment was studied.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

The short-term corrosion behavior of five metals (carbon steel, copper, brass, lead) was studied. The strips (10 × 50 mm) of metal plates were degreased, rinsed and immersed in fresh lime mortar (lime hydrate + standard quartz sand 1:3) and left in laboratory conditions. The used lime hydrate was compliant with CL90S type; the XRF analysis revealed that CaO content was 99.3%, MgO 0.5% and SO\textsubscript{3} 0.1%. After three months, the metal strips were removed from the mortar, cross-cutted, fixed by epoxy resin, polished and the interface between metal and adhering mortar was examined by electron microscopy (SEM Phenom XL) in BSE mode. The mortar was not yet fully carbonated; the XRD Rietveld analysis (Fig. 1) revealed that binder (aggregates excluded) contained 38% portlandite and 62% of calcite. It means that pH was still controlled by Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} dissolution.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Carbon steel. The surface of carbon steel (Fig. 2) has been covered by continuous layer of Fe corrosion products Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}; generally Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} may be formed at basic conditions, depending on the potential. The layer thickness ranged from 5 to 10 \textmu m. The gap between metal and oxidized layer appeared during the sample preparation. Mortar adhered well Fe-oxides layer. The profile “1 Fe” (line scan 1) shows that Fe atoms has diffused also to the mortar layer. In areas, where mortar did not cover the steel well, a porous
dendritic Fe₂O₃ structure appeared. The line scan 2 illustrates that the dendritic corrosion structure has grown also on the mortar surface. Taking into account the short time (3 months) of experiment and laboratory conditions, one can state that steel corroded more that would be expected for Ca(OH)₂ rich environment. This behavior indicates, that in lime mortar is likely higher potential than in concrete; moreover in lime are not any alkalis like in cement which are responsible for higher pH than that corresponding to portlandite \[\text{Ca(OH)}_2\]. The zinc coated carbon steel was also subject of investigation, but one did not observed any corrosion effects.

**Copper.** The surface of copper (Fig. 3) has been covered by well adhering layer of mortar; one observes that mortar is more compact in the vicinity of copper sheet. A closer view (Fig. 4) indicates that the more compact surface layer is not homogenous; there are two phases. Both of them are dominated by CaCO₃, as results from Ca and C profiles, but the phase in vicinity of copper plate is enriched by sulfur; its concentration is equal across the layer. The second element enriched in this layer is copper; its content is decreasing gradually in the layer and drops to zero on the interface between the two phases. The only source of sulfur in the system is lime, containing 0.1\% of SO₃. Due to low content, XRD diffraction was not able to detect, whether the is a sulfide or a sulfate salt.

Generally copper is immune in basic environment, or eventually, at higher potential, passivated by Cu₂O or CuO. In the studied system, copper surface is not seemingly corroded. On the other hand, certain amount of copper dissolved and formed a Cu-S compound accumulating at the interface between copper and lime mortar. There has to be a driving force for diffusion of sulfur from bulk of lime to the interface; this driving force is a difference between sulfur activity.
Figure 3. Interface of copper (left) and lead (right) with lime mortar.

Figure 4. Detail of copper – lime interface. The arrow indicate line scan.

Figure 5. Elementary mapping performed on the copper – lime interface.
Brass. The interface between brass and lime mortar did not evince any indication of ongoing corrosion process (Fig. 6). Neither the element profiles did not indicate transfer of Cu or Zn from metal to the mortar phase. The mortar adhered well to the substrate. The results indicate that in the lime environment, zinc provides a passive layer to the brass and prevent its oxidation \[7\], even though in brass alloys, Zn is usually corroding preferentially to copper due to its lower standard electrochemical potential \[8\].

Lead. The adherence of lime mortar to lead was very poor (Fig. 3). The distinct crystals in lead are SiC particles, introduced, due to low hardness of lead, during the sample preparation. According to the lead Pourbaix diagram, it dissolves at pH above 11.5 to Pb(OH)\(_2\) anion \[9\]; correspondingly the lead gradient was detected in the mortar (Fig. 7) where the formation of CaPb(OH)\(_4\) may be expected.

4. Conclusions

There is just a little attention paid, compared to concrete, to corrosion behavior of metals in contact with lime mortar. This environment is obviously also basic and its pH decreases in time, but the obtained results indicate important differences in behavior of these systems. Carbon steel, which is passive in concrete, corroded in lime mortar already after 3 months; the corroded layer developed between metal and adhering mortar. The zinc coated steel did not corroded in lime environment within the studied time range. Copper formed a Cu-S corrosion product, dispersed in adjacent layer of mortar, despite it is relatively noble metal. On the other hand, zinc in brass provided
passivation to the material and any corrosion has not been observed. The lead corroded to Pb(OH)$_2$ anion dispersed in lime mortar. It can be concluded that the best corrosion compatibility with the lime mortar environment proved brass and zinc coated steel.
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