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Abstract
There are numerous possibilities of assessments of the human activity, offered by the ActimedARM—a wearable inertial sensor we developed. This device features a triaxial magnetometer, a triaxial accelerometer, a micro-processing unit, a Zigbee module and a μSD card. Its embedded algorithms make it able to compute postures, transfers of the subject and also to characterize the walking episodes. We recently succeeded in computing the relative displacements of the sensors, from double integration of the acceleration signals, in order to qualify specific physical activities such as rising from chairs or stools. The experiments highlighted the impact of the location of the sensor on the body on the correlation between objective motion and signals processed from acceleration measurements, showing a better correlation coefficient of 11.41% when the sensor is located on the navel.
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Introduction
Activities of Daily Living (ADL) are directed by vital needs as for example sleeping or feeding. These activities reflect both our physical and mental conditions. Furthermore, it is known that the ability of an individual to complete physical tasks can help diagnosing diseases such as chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases (COPD) [1] or mobility affecting pathologies [4].

Actimetry studies the succession of postures (sitting, standing, lying and walking) in which a subject was during a period of time to evaluate its characteristics. There are mainly two kinds of devices dedicated to this tracking: gold-standard systems using sets of cameras and inertial devices [2, 3]. The first category can produce objective and exhaustive assessment of the activity by implementing complex image-processing algorithms. But these systems are limited to laboratory premises and have important disadvantages such as requiring powerful calculation systems and the user to wear tags over the body. The other category—namely, the inertial sensors—have emerged due to the recent advances in the MEMS industry [2, 3]. The decreasing sizes of devices made possible the design of small wearable systems for the monitoring of the activity on a daily basis.

We designed and fabricated such an embedded system: The ActimedARM. The design was driven by consideration of energy consumption and acceptability. Recently, we performed experiments to investigate the feasibility of the assessment of the motion and the impact of the sensor location on an individual body. To achieve this, displacement was computed from acceleration measurements and compared to the data obtained from an objective source.

Materials and methods

Material

The ActimedARM was designed around a 32bit ARM powered processing unit. It also embeds a three-axis magnetometer, a three-axis accelerometer, a Zigbee (802.15.4) module and a μSD card. A 3.6 Volts Lithium-Ion battery [4], power the whole system.
The core of the system, the STM32F103RE (STMicroelectronics), was chosen for its power in terms of calculations (Cortex-M3 core), its integrated peripherals (SPI, USART, ADC, RTC) and its low-consumption (28 mA maximum in operation, 25 μA in sleep mode). The μSD card offers a great compromise between storage capacity and physical dimensions. It is also natively handled by the processing unit (a SDIO port is available and a dedicated library is provided by the manufacturer).

The ADXL345 (Analog Device) is an autonomous system that communicates the values of accelerations on a SPI bus. It was chosen for its low-power characteristics (3.6 V, 65 μA@25 Hz) and is in sleep mode most of the time [4].

Embedded Algorithms

In normal conditions, the software iterates every second (1 Hz). In this iteration, 25 samples of acceleration and one sample of magnetic field are stored and processed. The processing starts with low-pass filtering of the acceleration signals then the detection of the posture, the transfers and the walking episodes. The quaternion representing the attitude of the subject is then computed and, depending on the configuration file, the data is sent through the ZigBee module to the host computer and/or written on dedicated files on the on board μSD card [4].

The detection of the posture is elaborated from the vertical component of the acceleration modulus being maximum (resp. minimum) when the subject is standing (resp. lying).

The classification of the transfers is realized by analyzing the changes in postures over time for stand to lying and lying to stand transfer. In the more complex case of sit-to-stand and stand-to-sit, an algorithm searches for a phase-shift which occurs during transfers between vertical and horizontal signals.

When walking, a pseudo-periodic signal due to foot impacts can be detected on vertical accelerations. We can therefore detect walking episodes by tracking peaks in the frequency range 1.1 Hz – 5.4 Hz [4].

Experiments

Two series of experiments were performed, involving 4 male subjects (36 ±11.5 yrs, 87.7 ±32.57 kg, 1.73 ±0.10 m) wearing two ActimedARM: one on the navel and the other on the pelvis.

Mechanical considerations and hardware performances

The design stage was driven by considerations of acceptability. In terms of physical dimensions, the ActimedARM has the following dimensions: 28x30x12 mm without the power battery. The privileged location for the sensor was on the bust, for a better acceptability and discretion. Also, the bust was found to be more representative of the whole body motion and therefore more suitable for a global assessment of the activity. During the latest experiments, other locations were investigated such as on the navel or on the pelvis.

The second design goal was to create a system able to monitor the activity during long periods of time. To do so, its consumption must be kept low. In radio-operation mode (the radio module is on), the autonomy is 8 days (resp. 24 days) on a 1200 mAh battery (resp. 3600 mAh). In data-logger mode (the radio module is off), the autonomy reaches 10 days (resp. 32 days) [4].
second during the experimentations. The sensors modules were in data-logging mode (Zigbee communication module off).

The motion plot was obtained by integrating twice the vertical acceleration recorded by the ActimedARM and opposed to the motion plot from the gold standard-video system, under the Matlab Environment. The algorithm is composed of a first step designed to reduce the integration error by removing the DC component of the signal (subtraction of the mean value), then, two numerical integration steps are processed with a high-pass F.I.R filter (0.12 Hz) used in between to reduce the amount of error on the processed signal.

Then, the computation of the correlation coefficient between signals from ActimedARM and video systems was done from the second sit-to-stand until the last one.

Results

The average correlation between the gold standard video system and the ActimedARM located on the pelvis (respectively on the navel) is 72.37% (resp. 83.78%) as can be seen on Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Chair</th>
<th>Stool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Pelvis 0.7134</td>
<td>N.A. 0.8508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Navel   0.8651</td>
<td>0.8508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>Pelvis 0.8507</td>
<td>Navel 0.9266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Navel   0.6121</td>
<td>0.9134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>Pelvis 0.7437</td>
<td>Navel 0.8378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Navel   0.6506</td>
<td>0.9134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Pelvis 0.7237</td>
<td>Navel 0.8378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

When looking to results (Table 1), it seems pretty obvious that the navel is a privileged location when assessing the motion during sit-to-stand transitions, with an average correlation coefficient better by 11.41% when located on the navel.

Further experiments still needs to be done to check if this location is optimal for the evaluation of other kinds of physical activities or for the overall assessment of the motion both in terms of accuracy and in terms of acceptability.

Improvements can be made on the motion-processing algorithm to reduce errors due to the integration of noise and numerical errors.

Eventually, a new version of the system is under design to obtain a more accurate and low-power inertial sensor using the latest, more frugal components.
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