FROM WHITE BOARD TO PATIENT

TRACKING SYSTEM IN ANESTHESIA AND BEYOND

Jan Bruthans^{1,2}

¹Department of Biomedical Technology, Faculty of Biomedical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Czech Republic

²Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic

Abstract

Every acute-care hospital in the Czech Republic is equipped with a Hospital information system, still there is no Anesthesia information management system (AIMS) deployed in any of these hospitals. Relaying the information obtained in anesthesia consultation and managing an anesthesia sub-department proved to be challenging when using a shared Word document and classic white board to keep track of the changing situation. We designed an in-house web-based system that stores the data obtained in anesthesia consultation, shares these data via email with relevant parties and then serves as a patient tracking system in the post-operative care unit. This system also provides statistical data associated with anesthesia required by our hospital. Although AIMS would be an ultimate solution for our needs, we were able to cover our most pressing needs by much more simple arrangement which we plan to develop further.

Keywords

Anesthesia management information system, Patient tracking system, Hospital information system

Introduction

Computer technologies are being introduced in the Czech hospitals for more than twenty-five years. Every acute-care hospital in the Czech Republic is currently equipped with Hospital information system (HIS) [1]. However, the present HIS have only basic functionalities, as tracking patients from the point of arrival at hospital until leaving the hospital (admission-discharge-transfer systems, ADT [2]), keeping unstructured clinical data (clinical information systems), billing healthcare payers, etc. Up to my knowledge, there is no deployment of wide-scale advanced HIS covering intensive-care or anesthesia care in the Czech Republic at present.

Specifics of anesthesia consultation

An integral part of anesthesia is the anesthesia consultation (also known as pre-anesthesia checkup). The anesthesiologist is expected to personally visit the patient, check his health status (with specific attention to previous anesthesia history and complications) and agree on preferred type of anesthesia. The anesthesiologist not only decides on necessary provisions in the operating room (types of monitoring and invasive measures used, warming of the patient, units of blood reserved), but also plans type of post-operative treatment (standard ward via post-operative care unit, intensive-care unit, resuscitation-care unit, etc.). A recommended course of anesthesia consultation is in effect in the Czech Republic [3].

Ideally the anesthesiologist administering anesthesia would be the one conducting the anesthesia consultation the day before the operation. However, this provider is usually committed elsewhere when the consultation is conducted, so another anesthesiologist must execute the consultation and then relay the information to the relevant person.

Specifics of surgery department

First Surgery Department of General Teaching Hospital and First Faculty of Medicine provides abdominal, thoracic and trauma surgery. Four operation groups cover five operating rooms (OR) on daily basis. About fifteen to twenty operations are daily performed, up to twenty percent of them are unscheduled (inserting these unscheduled cases into the operating plan (OP) might take place the day before, in the morning of the operation or even on a short notice, as in case of admission with severe bleeding).

Anesthesia sub-department (part of a Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, author of this study is a deputy head of this sub-department) provides the daily service of four anesthesiology teams (physician and nurse), post-operative care unit team (PACU, two nurses), consultant anesthesiologist (present on OR or PACU) and acting head anesthesiologist (AHA, responsible for organization and providing of cardiopulmonary resuscitation). AHA also conducts the most of anesthesia consultations, the other anesthesiologists take part if their OP terminates earlier.

Changes in OP (inserting a case in OP, shifting a case from one OR to another, reordering cases, dropping a case) are prompted not only by an unscheduled case but also because of other reasons (longer operation than anticipated, unavailability of surgical tools or personnel). The decision to change OP might come from different sources (head of surgery, head of OR nurses, surgery consultants, etc.), so AHA should be able to retain a clear picture of actual state in every working OR and should be able to assist the decision how the OP is changed to minimize the impact on the OP as whole.

Traditional way of coping with requirements

To retain and share the information gathered in anesthesia consultations AHA created every day a Word document which he sent by an email before the end of working hours to a predefined set of addresses (other anesthesiologists, nurses, OR nurses, etc.). If another anesthesiologist took part in the anesthesia consultations, he usually took notes on a paper and provided these to the AHA to enter them in the document.

Based on this document, the PACU nurse created in the morning an OP depiction on a white board in the PACU. On this board changes in OP were shown, either by arrows or rewrites. Finished cases were erased, so a blank board meant a finished OP (a welcome sign for an anesthesiologist taking over a night shift!).

Each anesthesia case was recorded into an anesthesia book, entries about patient data, type of anesthesia, drugs used, etc. were created manually. Only severe complications of anesthesia were reported via standard hospital reporting system, whereas "mild" complications (prolonged awakening, difficult airway, etc.) were usually not reported at all.

Required statistical data (number of patients undergoing anesthesia, types of anesthesia, etc.) were gathered form the anesthesia book monthly either by an author of this study or by someone he had chosen (usually as a form of punishment). There was no secondary control of these obtained data, so their value was – to say the least – questionable.

IT equipment present

The General Teaching Hospital is equipped with an outdated Stapro Medea HIS. Data are stored in nonstructured way (as text entries). The surgeon must create an operation protocol, there is no required entry from the anesthesiologist (although he might enter a short notice – typically mentioning the difficult airway information for future use). A scheduling system exists in Stapro Medea, but OP is created by the head of surgery using an Excel file (which is sent at the end of previous week to all relevant parties).

No data can be inserted in our HIS originating in another system, also it is quite difficult to extract data from our HIS. At least an adjacent ADT can provide basic patient information (name, surname, health insurance company), if birth certificate number (a basic Czech patient identifier) is provided.

Our hospital is equipped with LDAP server and with MS Outlook with remote access (with short message service authentication). Also, Wi-Fi access is nowadays available virtually in every place in the hospital.

OR and PACU throughout the hospital are equipped with touch-screen personal computers (introduced when a simple surgery tracking system was bought few years ago, but this system is not used much at present).

Introducing own proprietary system

Anesthesia information management system (AIMS) would be a logical and fulfilling solution to our needs, but as Stapro Medea does not contain any such functions and because of the budget constrains we decided to opt for much more modest solution.

Head of anesthesia sub-department with author of this article provided an overview of most needed functions, a resident anesthesiologist with experience in webapplication design provided the actual programming work, partly during his working hours, partly in his free time.

IT department of our hospital approved server/computing time usage, as well as LDAP server usage and assisted with ADT usage.

During the development process, other anesthesiologists and anesthesia nurses were consulted and their relevant remarks were taken into consideration. The whole development process took about half a year, after a monthly trial the system was pronounced as usable.

Functions of the system

The resulting system works as a web-based application, available from any web-browser from any computer (or tablet / mobile phone) connected via the hospital ethernet (also via the Wi-Fi, the tablet / mobile phone must be registered to be able to connect).

Every anesthesiologist or anesthesia nurse can log in the system using his / her hospital password (via the LDAP server that also decides whether the person belongs to the anesthesia branch). The system depicts each day of the OP and is structured into each OR. The primary data (name and diagnosis of the patient, sequence of the patients, name of the anesthesiologist) must be entered manually by the AHA using the above-mentioned Excel file from the surgeons.

At the anesthesia consultation, the birth certificate number is entered and the verified name from the ADT is filled in. Also, at this moment, previously stored data (from previous anesthesia, as quite a lot of our patients undergo more procedures in due time) are depicted. Then adjacent diseases, allergies, ASA-score, planned

	Předopera	ční anestezi	ologické konziliur	n							Zpāt Ulož
	Poznámka	Poznámka:							TEOLOGIC VICEO		
ránka		Pracovni	Michai								
ickā klinika:		jměno Rodné číslo		Načist	Pojišťovna	207					
program		Second Second	-	Macist		Michal	10	-	1	1	
ČPSN		Přijmeni:	-		Jméno:			Titul	L		
8 9 10 11		Věk:	49		Pohlaví.	М		Z MEDEY.	ANO	-	
15 16 17 18		Předchozí OP	19.9.2018	Hernia ingui LPSK-plastii	valls bilat, prec.	Lsin.	Bez kompl	Bez komplikaci.			
22 23 24 25	Pacient		neguje						-		
knina I. CHK 2018		Alergie:	neguje								
ining I, CHR 2017											
			VCHGD ASA								
eni Opr		Komplikující onemocnění	E KPK HTN DM asthma.bronchi ICHDK St.p.CN	ale FIS PONV IP kortikodend	HLP hypothy ence anémie	Z typu - INZ ICHS reóza CHRI VCHG glaukom varity DK malnutzice polyvi	D GERD depre St.p. PE hiāto	se St.p.CH våhernie f	T SED IM VAS		
		Diagnóza	Hemia inguinalis	bilat prec 1 si	P	tánovaný výkon	LPSK-plastika				
			[CA								
	Operace	Zajisteni	anesterie CA TWA EPF SAB PB-HK PB-DK AS INCIZ AWALGEZIE RECTUS SHEATH BLOCK TAP BLOCK PECS BLOCK JINE C2K CAL IN SILL PORT IN SILL TU do depa VIDEOLARYNGOSKOP FIBROSKOP WARM AIR monitoring: UAP DIS TOF								
			Pfed: ○ 1, ○ 2, ○ 3, ❀ 4, ○ 5, ○ jip 1, ○ jip 2, ○ RES.u ⊃ jin 6 Po: ○ 1, ○ 2, ○ 3, ❀ 4, ○ 5, ○ jip 1, ○ jip 2, ○ RES.u ⊃ jin 6								

Fig. 1: Anesthesia consultation entry.

KARIM MUDr. Jan Bruthans		NZ. *203.05: RDG + Shunty: Dr. Petr. XT2 MUDr. Jan Bruthans 5:00	-Suðbæ MUDr. Adam Novetný MUDr. Elena Jurisová		MUDr. Martin Luke\$					
dni strànka	1. PE +0237 4	Božena (79 let) 🗮 Diverticulitis sig	moidel Resekce ICHS,Stp IM, HTN, DM na PAD kontrola koagulace	CA, EPI, CŽK	- &_→)IP 1					
inungickā kšinika	2 P I 00:00 Stat	anislav (72 let) 🗧 Tumor coli trans	Versi. Resekce ICHS, chron, AV blok III, KS in situ, CHOPN, HTN, glaukom,	CA, EPI, CŽK, WARM AIR	· 4 ·					
eračni program Ú S Č P S N	3 P Ka	larel (71 let) 🗮 VAC system yy	měna HTN, DM 2. typu - PAD, ICHS, fumator, HLP,stenoza karotid	CA	AA: 0, 4, → 4.					
6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18	2 Na sále v 7:45 "začátek v 8:	:00			MUDr. Michal Štajnrt					
9 20 21 22 23 24 25	7 P 🛛 🍽 🛛 🖚 Hot	nhumit ing (77 led) 🗮 Herma inguinali (Photoka ve sitt)	s Lise residivants. ICHS, HTN, DV7. Insut in annuarms, rec willy		- 1e1					
peračni kniha I. CHK 2018	2. P 🗄 👶 0040 🎟 Pave	el (72 let) 🗧 Hernia inguinali	s bilat Plastika BHP + autoPMK, varixy	CA + IA	 1.→1. 					
peračni krima I, Снис 2017 astaveni	a. P Stanislav (37 iet)		HTN, vaskularní nefrosklerőza, peritoneální dialýza, nyní pro t 9.11 punkce pleurálního výpotku + zavedení HD katětru, posle 11.11		AA: 0, Nefro E → Nefro E					
idhi&Sent	4. P alaros	ostav (35 let) 🗧 he. umbilicalis 🛙	plastika. HTN, KPK	CA	AA: neguje, pozátěžová 3. → 3. urtika,					
	3 Na sále v po 5 , začátek v - MUDr., Ing. Lukáš Vobořil									
	1. P 🕬 Ivan	ina (52 let) E Carcinoma man Ablate - exenti	nmae Lsin, BBM PONV	TIVA + PECS	• 1.→1.					
	4 Na sále v 7:30 "začátek v 8:00 MUDr. Veronika Fundová									
	1. P 🛋 00.27 🛋 Zd	deněk (S1 let) 🛛 🗮 Insufficientia ve magnae I sin. 🖸	nee saphaenae HTN, obezita, VAS	CA/EPI die výběru anesteziologa	• 1. + 1.					
	2. P 🗄 差 00.19 🚥 Ma	tarcel (53 let) = Tumor regionis Amputace rekt		CA, EPI, CŽK, TU do depa, WARM AIR	- 1, → JIP 1					
	5 Dia shin y 7:30 , zuffiten y 85	1:00			MUDruing Lux33 Nobolit					
	1 PB -0047 CB Rude	dolf (58 let) 🗧 Laesio menisci i	nterni I sin. ASK. KPK	EPI + AS preferuje						

Fig. 2: Patient tracking system.

	Předopera	iční anesteziol	ogické konzi	lium						Zpět Uložit AK
KARIM	Poznámka								_	
	_		X #2457 Control (RČ Control of Co							
nī strānka. rungicies liumīts		Pracovní jměno	Sál	*10203040	5 © 6 © RDG-SH			PŘEDVYPLNIT		
ační program		Rodné číslo.	Odd před	010203840	5. 0 JIP 1 0 JIP 2 0 RES	UP O RES IL O line		Ministerio		
SČPSN		Pfijmenž	Odd pied	© 1 © 2 © 3 € 4 © 5 © JIP 1 © JIP 2 © RES-UP © RES IL © JINE						
7 8 9 10 11	Vēk		Dg	Hernia inguinalis bilat. prec. Lsin.						
13 14 15 16 17 18		Předchozí	Výkon	LPSK-plastika						
0 21 22 23 24 25	Pacient	OP.	Čas	od 07:42 HHMM do 09:30 HHMM	2018-09-19 07:42:17 2018-09-19 09:30:00					
ační kniha I. CHK 2018		Alergie		02. AIR. DES	2010-02-12 02.30.00					
atril anima L CHK 2017			Anestetika	Prop 250mg Esm 50mg						
veni				Suf 40 ug	Komplikace anestezie		1			
šeni		Komplikujici	Zajištění OC	velikost 8,5 ® OTI	O NTI O LMA O I-GEL O	maska 🔘 polomaska 🔘	rsi			
24m		onemocněn		úvod: ₩i.v. 🗉 inhalačn						
		2:			spontânnî 💷 asistovană					
			Zajištēni	anestezie: # CA @ TVA @ EPI-L @ EPI-Th @ SAB @ PB-HK @ PB-DK @ AS @ INCIZ. ANALGEZIE @ JINÝ BLOK Invaze: @ CŹK @ IABP @ CŹK in situ @ IABP In stiu @ PORT in situ						
		and the second second		dalši: NA ze salu		du 🐸 PORT in situ				
		Diagnóza.	ÚPS:							
			Lékaři	Ulrichová Jitka (88556)	-					
	Operace	Zajištění	Sestra	Týcová Helena						
								Strick pacienta		
		Öddělení								
		and an ended								

Fig. 3: Anesthesia data entry.

type of anesthesia (including catheters, transfusions, etc.) is entered – either using predefined texts or by entering unstructured data. Also, the placement information should be entered at this stage. (See Fig. 1 for better understanding).

The whole OP for the next day with all anesthesiarelevant information is sent the previous day precisely at 7:00 PM to a predefined set of addresses – to all consultant anesthesiologists and head anesthesia nurse of the sub-department, to the OR head nurse of the surgery and then to all other anesthesiologists which are entered as caregivers on any OR for the next day. So, the information is limited for those needing it. Also, birth certificate numbers are omitted for privacy reasons.

The OP of the actual day exists in two variations – a full version with all the data (to be opened in a computer or a tablet by an anesthesiologist if needed) and a limited version that is shown on the touch-screen PC in PACU unit (some data are omitted again for privacy reasons). This touch-screen is then used instead the white board – by tapping next to the patient name, a position status is assigned (icons show - on the PACU before the operation / on the OR / on the PACU after the operation and the grayed entry means the patient has left the anesthesia care – see Fig. 2). Another patient can be inserted in the system using similar approach, also patients can be reorganized in any necessary way (also shifted to another day or left unassigned).

After the case closure the attending anesthesiologist fills a case data to the system (drugs used, types of anesthesia, potential complications, and nurse present), data from anesthesia consultation are used to pre-fill the form - see Fig. 3). From these data, the required statistical data are computed and depicted in the system.

Discussion

As already stated above, AIMS would cover not only functions provided by our system, but much other functionalities are available - automated entry from the anesthesia and monitoring devices, creating of electronic record, billing of the care provided, even clinical decision systems [4, 5]. Studies also show that AIMS increases accuracy over manually handwritten records [6]. Still AIMS are not always part of HIS and such an integration might be a challenging task [7], also attention should be given to the user interface design of AIMS [8].

However, up to my knowledge there is no functional AIMS in the Czech Republic, despite growing usage worldwide [9]. Our HIS (Stapro Medea) does not have such functionality and acquiring and integration of AIMS is not a priority for our hospital. Facing this situation, we decided for an in-house proprietary system that covers at least our most pressing needs. Other authors have felt the same way and designed a proprietary tracking system [10].

Although we have not monitored time needed for creating our system, we can certainly claim that we ended with an inexpensive solution. Still, our approach has its limits and is dependent on its creators. The documentation of the system is virtually non-existent, and departure of our colleague programmer would mean a serious hurdle in the system usage.

The system is still regarded as "beta", so smaller errors happen from time to time. We still plan to add other functions, as documenting of the stay at PACU and we would like to expand its usage from our subdepartment to all five anesthesiology sub-departments.

Conclusions

Although AIMS is an ideal and ultimate solution for anesthesia management and for work flow management in OR, much simpler interim solutions are obtainable. Such a house-developed system might be inexpensive and well-tailored to specific needs and can provide an improvement in the daily anesthesia and surgery care.

References

- Behrová, J.: Porovnání komerčních NIS (nemocničních informačních systémů) používaných v jednotlivých zdravotnických zařízeních v ČR (Comparison of Commercial EMTs Used in Health-Care Facilities in the CR) [Diploma thesis]. [Kladno]: Czech Technical University; 2016.
- [2] Spahni, S., Lovis, C., Mercille, R., Verdel, H., Cotton, M., Geissbühler, A.: *Implementing a new ADT based on the HL7 version 3 RIM*. Int J Med Inf 2007;76:190–4.
- [3] Černý, V., Cvachovec, K., Ševčík, P., Šturma, J.: Recommended examinations before diagnostic or therapeutic procedures when anesthesia care is required [Internet]. Czech Society of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine; 2009 [cited 2018 Sep 29]. Available from: http://www.csarim.cz/storage/app/media/ Doporucene%20postupy%20a%20stanoviska%20CSARIM/MP _CSARIM_Predanest_vysetreni_verze_2_final_190509.pdf
- [4] Kadry, B., Feaster, W. W., Macario, A., Ehrenfeld, J. M.: Anesthesia Information Management Systems: Past, Present, and Future of Anesthesia Records. Mt Sinai J Med J Transl Pers Med. 2012 Jan;79(1):154–65.
- [5] Shah, N. J., Tremper, K. K., Kheterpal, S.: Anatomy of an Anesthesia Information Management System. Anesthesiol Clin. 2011 Sep;29(3):355–65.

- [6] Reich, D. L., Wood, R. K., Mattar, R., Krol, M., Adams, D. C., Hossain, S., et al.: Arterial Blood Pressure and Heart Rate Discrepancies Between Handwritten and Computerized Anesthesia Records. Anesth Analg. 2000 Sep;91(3):612–6.
- [7] Springman, S. R.: Integration of the enterprise electronic health record and anesthesia information management systems. Anesthesiol Clin. 2011 Sep;29(3):455–83.
- [8] Wanderer, J. P., Rao, A. V., Rothwell, S. H., Ehrenfeld, J. M.: Comparing two anesthesia information management system user interfaces: a usability evaluation. Can J Anesth Can Anesth. 2012 Nov;59(11):1023–31.
- [9] Ehrenfeld, J. M., Rehman, M. A.: Anesthesia information management systems: a review of functionality and installation considerations. J Clin Monit Comput. 2011 Feb;25(1):71–9.
- [10] Wiemuth, M., Fink, E., Burgert, O.: A workflow management system for the perioperative area supporting all actors. In: 2015 17th International Conference on E-health Networking, Application & Services (HealthCom) [Internet]. Boston, MA, USA: IEEE; 2015 [cited 2018 Sep 29]. p. 28–31. Available from: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7454468/

Jan Bruthans, M.D., Ph.D. Department of Biomedical Technology Faculty of Biomedical Engineering Czech Technical University in Prague nám. Sítná 3105, CZ-272 01 Kladno

> E-mail: bruthjan@fbmi.cvut.cz Phone: +420 723 426 288