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Abstract

Expansion of methods employed in the kinematic analysis of human movement for diagnosing of the physical and mental health of subjects can be traced back to the 1990's when new information technologies and electronic recording systems started their development boom. Evaluation methods of body movement for the diagnostics of physical and mental health expanded significantly in clinical practice. This study presents an overview of these methods with the focus on how applicable the analysis of human movement can be in military practice, where they are currently marginally used. The aim of this study is to offer some recommendations on how particular methods could be utilized in an army context. This article also suggests the most appropriate methods of quantitative evaluation for posture and motion control in the course of standing, gait and other activities carried out in military training and active duty.
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Introduction

The expansion of methods of kinematic analysis of the human movement for physical and mental health diagnostics of subjects can be traced back to the 1990's when new information technologies and electronic recording systems started their development boom [1]. The kinematic analysis of human body movement for the diagnostics of physical and mental health is frequently applied in medical observation and treatment within civilian sphere [2]. Kinematic analysis of body motion, which includes evaluation of kinematic data for the quantitative assessment of body motion, can be, however, applicable not only to the civilian sphere but also in some military activities. These might include the developing rehabilitation processes, developing intelligent control systems, prosthetics, robotic exoskeletons, or various kinds of customized equipment. This study aims to present an overview and analysis of the recently used methods which evaluate kinematic data on body movement and to refer to how they can be applied in the military sphere. Referring to the research and selected examples, each chapter describes some recently used
methods applied in both civilian and military sphere and compares the potential use of their applicability. This article aims to present recommendations for particular methods of evaluation of physical and mental health within specific military areas. It also offers several tools that may help others identify appropriate methods of quantitative assessment of posture and movement control under the conditions of standing, gait and performing various activities within an army context.

This study doesn’t intend to conduct a systematic review of the literature with precise search criteria, but instead to propose a thematic review based the most recent articles and those already known by the authors. Two databases—IEEE Xplore (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers and Institution of Engineering and Technology) and ScienceDirect (Elsevier)—were mainly used for the literature search. A combination of keywords, such as movement, soldier, sensors, wearable, kinematics, kinetics, were used as search terms. Publications from 2005–2017 were preferred, however, this range was extended in some cases.

**Methods of Quantitative Evaluation of Health in Military Personnel Using Kinematic Data**

Evaluation of individual kinematic values of movement measured by motion capture (MoCap) systems at a particular moment does not seem suitable in diagnostics of physical and mental health since it usually fails to demonstrate the overall complex motion of human body segments. It was, therefore, necessary to develop some alternative methods allowing appropriate processing of the measured kinematic values for motion, the methods which would enable quantitative evaluation of the measured motion as a whole. Although these methods are widely used in civilian medicine, they have a reasonably large potential to find their way into military use. For instance, the measured data allows for evaluation and interpretation of physical and mental health of military personnel or, based on the calculation of numerous factors, they can evaluate the impact of military gear, equipment, and new technology, on soldiers’ movement. Methods of evaluation can differ depending on the kind of movement studied, as well as on the types of MoCap systems themselves. They can be divided into two most widely used areas of clinical practice:

1. Evaluation of static position and orientation of body segments (static posture) [3]
2. Evaluation of deliberate and active motion of body segments (dynamic posture) [4]

These methods can be further divided into:

- Methods of evaluation of time domain data [5]
- Methods of evaluation of frequency domain data [6]

- Methods of evaluation of the relation between measured variables [7]
- Non-linear methods of data evaluation [8–10]

In the following section, this paper will give some examples of how particular methods are applied in the military sphere and the potential application of methods which have not been used in a military context before.

**Evaluation of Static Position and Orientation of Body Segments**

Data reflecting records of motion control of a static position and orientation of body segments or a body as a whole (static posture) usually take the form of kinematic data developing over a period of time. In the case of force platforms, the record usually includes the development of values of the center of pressure (CoP), i.e. center of mass (CoM) for the whole body. Positions of anatomical points or angles of body segments (or their derivations—velocity and acceleration) are usually recorded by cameras, a gyro-accelerometer or other MoCap systems. A detailed overview of the latest and most frequently used methods of quantitative analysis of the measured data and their relations are documented, for example, in [11–14].

**Methods of evaluation of time domain data**

The most frequent method of evaluation of measured data within the area of civilian medicine (and their prospective application in a military context), is the evaluation of maximum values or of the range of measured values (the difference between the maximum and minimum). This method includes the evaluation of maximum deviations of CoP in the medial-lateral and anterior-posterior direction [15], as measured by e.g. Romberg test. The medians of CoP coordinates were measured as the part of the examination, see Tab. 1. Similarly, data from MoCap systems were assessed by evaluating the acceleration of body segments in mediolateral and anterior-posterior directions [16] or body CoM [17]. The evaluation of ranges of angles measured by camera systems for individual anatomical planes is nowadays a frequent method of medical examinations [18, 19]. Ranges of sway angles for the entire body in particular anatomical axes were evaluated during stance tasks on a dynamic platform [20].

**Methods of evaluation of frequency domain data**

The evaluation of kinematic data in frequency domain is common in the civilian area. However, data analysis using the amplitude spectrum [21] and the power spectrum [22] is not widely used to evaluate the ability to maintain a static posture when concerning the military sphere. This is due to the fact that these methods are predominantly used in the assessment of neurological disorders, such as hereditary disorders, that can also be identified by evaluation methods using the time domain.
data. Moreover, they are rarely found among military personnel or war veterans; the diagnosed neurological disorders of war veterans are considered as those in civilians, and were therefore not included in the research of the specific methods used in military medicine. Another reason why frequency domain evaluation is excluded from this research is that when comparing the methods of evaluation for time domain data, their nature—regarding interpretation—is far too complex. In the case of military operations, methods for evaluation of deliberate motion of body segments appear more suitable than evaluation in a static posture.

**Methods of evaluation of relationship between measured variables**

Although some indicators in quantitative evaluation of kinematic data based on the analysis of relations between measured variables are a standard choice in the civilian sphere (particularly those assessing the static posture of a body segment or the body as a whole [23]), none of these are applicable in a military context. This is due to their computational complexity and intricate interpretation compared to the methods of evaluation of time domain data which has long been used in practice. The vast majority of potentially suitable parameters relies on methods based on an evaluation of postural stability in standing positions, see the overview in Tab. 1 and in [11, 13, 14]. The parameters, such as trajectory length and convex hull enclosing the CoP trajectory, are representations of the measured variables plotted against each other in 2D or 3D diagrams.

**Tab. 1: Methods of evaluation of static position and orientation of body segments.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Practical application in civilian sphere</th>
<th>Application in military sphere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameters based on time domain analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The mean and median</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The first and third quartile</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum and maximum value</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of measured values</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion of coefficient and scaling exponent</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters based on frequency domain analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of peak amplitude</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequencies defined by mean or median of total power</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of total power in a specific frequency band</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency bands containing up to 80, 95, or 99% of the total power</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Parameters based on the analysis of relationship between measured variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Practical application in civilian sphere</th>
<th>Application in military sphere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trajectory length and mean velocity</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of convex hull</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of 95% confidence ellipse</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of semi-minor and semi-major half axis of confidence ellipse</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination angle of the minor and major axis of confidence ellipse</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean circle area</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nonlinear methods of data evaluation**

Linear evaluation methods stem from the assumption that every behavior pattern in a sequence of repeated tasks is independent of the previous and following behavior. In contrast, nonlinear methods focus on the structure of variability by analyzing fluctuations in behavioral patterns in the course of time and observe how one behavior pattern can affect the other one. Despite the recent rise in the use of nonlinear methods for postural stability and motion assessment, for professionals, they do not represent a preferable choice, especially when compared to previous methods; the main reason is the demanding processing and complexity of data interpretation [11]. The nonlinear methods for posturography evaluation in civilian areas, such as CoP analysis [11], are mostly based on Largest Lyapunov Exponent (LLE) [24, 25], wavelet transform (e.g. critical point interval analysis) [26], fractal analysis [27], fluctuation analysis (examining e.g. Hurst
Evaluation of Active Motion of Body Segments

Some quantitative methods for the assessment of physical health have already been developed. They are based on the analysis of body segment motion (i.e. dynamic posture) and are recorded by modern MoCap systems. Methods based on the analysis of cyclic and acyclic or symmetric and asymmetric motions are used to evaluate dynamic posture. Rather widely used are methods which evaluate a cyclic motion with a fixed beginning and end to the duration, position, and trajectory; they are usually employed observing the length of trajectory, velocity or frequency of tremor [35, 36]. Methods employed in the assessment of cyclic motion represent another established choice for the evaluation of movement; typical is the focus on repeated movement of the same nature [37] like in gait (walking) or running. Such repeated motion patterns occur in everyday human activities and are therefore often observed and compared. Those analysis are most frequent used in the civilian area for the evaluation of gait, as this is the one of the most typical and important human movement. In clinical practices, various types of cyclic motion are studied applying specifically designed methods; however, standards for quantitative evaluation of particular types of cyclic motion are based on previous studies [5, 31, 44]. In order to make the data comparable across the population, their recording of a particular motion cycle (for example, duration of motion, stride length, motion length, stride time of the gait cycle, etc.), [38] is standardized. Likewise, the measured variables, such as distance, speed, etc. (i.e. recording of motion amplitude) [39] are usually subjected to standardization. The values relating to the basic parameters of particular types of active motions have been carefully examined on healthy subjects, and then compared with the values of parameters observed on research subjects (usually with an impaired physical condition). Tab. 2 illustrates an overview of the basic groups of parameters used in the civilian sphere and the status of their applicability in a military context.

Methods of evaluation of time domain data

In this section, some basic methods used in the civilian sphere and their application in the army will be compared. Measurements of kinematic values of translational motion is based on the change of position which allows for the evaluation of velocity and acceleration [40]. These values are standardized [39], and are followed by the observation of maximum or minimum values. Observing vertical motion by placing the markers on particular anatomical points during a particular phase of gait cycle is an evaluation example of a kinematic value [41]. A range of the measured data (the difference between the minimum and the maximum value) such as step length and width derived from CoP displacement, is also a frequently observed parameter, see Fig. 1. The evaluation of angle variables, usually anatomical angles is, however, more common practice than the evaluation of position parameters. The time derivative of the rotation angle (angular velocity and acceleration) are also observed and analyzed. Similarly, to studies focused on the development of the position of body segments coordinates, a range of expected result values from previous research provides a reliable comparison of healthy subjects in rotational motion on major joints. An illustrative example is the development of the knee or pelvic angle in a gait cycle of healthy subjects [43]. The data record is thus usually standardized for motion characteristics, such as the length of one step or stride time of the one gait cycle converted to percentages. Curves of the measured kinematic variables (measured in a particular subject) are captured and then compared to the development values of healthy subjects. Mean values, maximum and minimum values are usually observed as parameters for quantitative evaluation of the body motion.

In addition to the above-mentioned traditional methods of analysis of motion data, indices for quantitative analysis of quality of a particular type of motion
(primarily gait) are also used. The gait indices evaluate the motion of the lower extremities as a whole by calculating the value of the index representing the deviation of the performed gait from the typical gait of healthy subjects.

As for the evaluation of other types of movements, i.e. movements of other body segments (e.g. the upper extremity), diagrams of expected values of angles for different weights, e.g. during jumps [69]. Research also applies to velocities and accelerations during respective gait phases in the development of exoskeletons and smart sensor suits with acceleration sensors [68]. Minimum and maximum values of anatomical angles are key variables in movement activity studies with equipment of different weights, e.g. during jumps [69]. Research has shown that the parameters for quantitative evaluation of body motion are already fully used in the military and civilian spheres.

Methods of evaluation of frequency domain data

Methods for evaluating the measured kinematic data in frequency domain, are identical to the methods for evaluating static position and orientation of body segments [70]. This is due to the fact that in most cases, the frequency of deliberate motion does not match the trajectories in the defined section plane) are evaluated [51]. These novel methods have so far been only used experimentally in the civilian sphere.

As for a military application, the most common indices are for establishing the minimal or maximal values of the measured angles and ranges of angles in a course of the measured movement. They are practically used in war veterans’ rehabilitation programs or in the development of medical devices for veterans (wheelchairs etc.) [52, 53]. As mentioned before, these indices enable the assessment of maximal values of anatomical angles of the trunk and upper extremities [54, 55], of the kinematic positions of anatomical points (coordinates of anatomical points) [56] and maximum or minimum values of arm accelerations [57]. They are also used for the quantitative assessment of upper extremities’ motion during rehabilitation, focusing on defined ranges of angles and displacements (i.e. distances) of segments during specific activities [18].

Cyclic motions studied for military needs is often used for the evaluation of minimum and maximum values of angles of the lower extremity during gait [58], for the assessment of ranges of joint angles of the lower extremity [59–61], and for the assessment of trunk motion [62] during gait. These are necessary for the development of new prostheses designed for war veterans or for the design of special implants needed after injuries identified throughout the rehabilitation process [63]. Evaluation of the values of angles in particular gait phases can also be observed during the rehabilitation process [64, 65]. As already mentioned, minimum and maximum angle values have been defined for respective gait phases in healthy population, and the measured values are compared in the course of rehabilitation. Similarly to the examination of angles, minimum and maximum CoP values for medio-lateral direction and particular gait phase are set and used in rehabilitation [66].

Development of army equipment (such as back-packs) and its effects on gait quality, is another area where parameters such as step length, step width and ranges of anatomical angles are employed [67]. The same applies to velocities and accelerations during respective gait phases in the development of exoskeletons and smart sensor suits with acceleration sensors [68]. Minimum and maximum values of anatomical angles are key variables in movement activity studies with equipment of different weights, e.g. during jumps [69]. Research has shown that the parameters for quantitative evaluation of body motion are already fully used in the military and civilian spheres.
frequency of accidental motions (such as tremors), meaning that accidental motions can be filtered out. Motions suggesting the physical state of subjects can then be studied directly with no regard for deliberate motions.

Similarly to the evaluation of static position and orientation of body segments in frequency domain, the evaluation of active motion of body segments in the frequency domain is only rarely studied and even if it is, it has been only experimental in nature. Rehabilitation practices offer examples of use, such as the power spectrum of body segments' motion, to observe responses of the body to the motion of the platform on which the subject was standing [71]. Nevertheless, these represent an isolated example of military-related application. The reasons for their marginal use are identical with those referring to the evaluation of static position and orientation of body segments in the frequency domain.

Methods of evaluation of relationship between measured variables

Since MoCap systems usually allow for the recording of more than one variable, mutual development of variables can provide a quantitative parameter of the subject's condition. In civilian practice, these methods are similar to the methods for the evaluation of static position and orientation of body segments, for example, inclination angles in diagrams for mutual development of two joint angles of the lower limb during cyclic motion [72]. Diagrams representing mutual development of two or three variables are called cyclograms and are used not only to evaluate the development of joint angles, but also the position and/or orientation of body segments during cyclic gait [73]. Some complex parameters of quantitative evaluation of cyclogram shape were designed and experimentally used, including its area, circularity, eccentricity, the area of the inertia ellipse, and others [74]. When two kinematic variables during acyclic motion were evaluated, some instances of trajectory shape of the mutual dependence of CoP coordinates, initializing or terminating a motion phase, were recognized [75]. This example is the transition from a sitting to a standing position. Symmetry indexes (SI) are generally used to assess mutual motions of the body to the motion of the platform on which the subject was standing [71]. Nevertheless, these represent an isolated example of military-related application. The reasons for their marginal use are identical with those referring to the evaluation of static position and orientation of body segments in frequency domain.

Tab. 2: Methods of evaluation of active motion of body segments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Practical application in civilian sphere</th>
<th>Application in military sphere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parameters based on time domain analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The mean and the median</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum and maximum values</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of measured values</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation and variability</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parameters based on frequency domain analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of peak amplitude</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequencies defined by mean or median of the total power</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of the total power in specific frequency band</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency band containing up to 80, 95, or 99% of the total power</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the field of movement evaluation. A secondary reason is the small research application history in the army. Fractal analysis is the only method employed in the applications of the mentioned method are closely related, no wider use for them has been found in the army. Fractal analysis is the only method employed in the evaluation of static posture of the body, the listed methods whose parameters quoted in military scientific works. Nevertheless, as a result of this research, the new parameters (see Tab. 1 and 2) which have not been used in the army so far, can be recommended for activities such as the evaluation of war veterans’ treatment or for diagnostics of the mental state of soldiers under high-stress conditions (such as in the battlefield). Although these methods have not been commonly employed in military applications, their use is not restricted exclusively to medical practice; they can be also used to assess the effects of military devices and environments. The parameters of movement evaluation in the civilian medicine in the early 1990’s were the only parameters quoted in military scientific works. Nevertheless, a pilot study of changes with gait variables and oxygen consumption during walking with heavy weight loads [88].

Discussion and Recommendations

In the civilian sphere, numerous methods have been designed for monitoring physical and mental state of the subjects. Nevertheless, only a minor portion have been used so far in the military conditions; moreover, even those already used, are fundamental and traditional methods for quantitative evaluation of kinematic data. This stems from the fact that military research is focused on specific areas of application with methods already applied in civilian areas, such as exoskeletons, military assault suits or “smart” prostheses. The opposite approach to developing a method for evaluating the state of physical and mental health under military conditions which could be subsequently used in civilian sphere has not been found. The reason may be the confidential nature of military research which restricts publication in journals, although civilian researchers are permitted to implement their findings in the civilian sphere. However, there is inconclusive data to support this working theory and as emerged from background research into recent scientific works relating to the military.

The parameters of movement evaluation used in the civilian sphere, numerous methods have been designed for monitoring physical and mental state of the subjects. Nevertheless, only a minor portion have been used so far in the military conditions; moreover, even those already used, are fundamental and traditional methods for quantitative evaluation of kinematic data. This stems from the fact that military research is focused on specific areas of application with methods already applied in civilian areas, such as exoskeletons, military assault suits or “smart” prostheses. The opposite approach to developing a method for evaluating the state of physical and mental health under military conditions which could be subsequently used in civilian sphere has not been found. The reason may be the confidential nature of military research which restricts publication in journals, although civilian researchers are permitted to implement their findings in the civilian sphere. However, there is inconclusive data to support this working theory and as emerged from background research into recent scientific works relating to the military.

The above-mentioned nonlinear methods for assessing static positions and the orientation of body segments can also be used to assess active movement. These methods include Lyapunov exponents, particularly the largest Lyapunov exponent, which measures the speed at which the nonlinear system diverges from the baseline situation (i.e. perturbation) and evaluates the resistance of cyclic motion (i.e. gait) against small deviations. These were mostly used to analyze cyclic movements and dynamic stability [83, 84]. Another method to evaluate motion data is fractal analysis applied to assess gait data such as in studies of Parkinson’s disease [85]. Floquet analysis represents yet another method for the evaluation of gait stability [86]. The RQA methods in civilian applications have been used to assess kinematic values of translational and rotational motion of individual segments (shank, hand, trunk, head, etc. [87]) of body recorded primarily using accelerometers and gyroscopes. Computed RQA outcome variables are the same as those for evaluation of static position/orientation of segments [10, 87]. Similarly to the evaluation of static posture of the body, the listed methods which evaluate active body movement are of minor significance in civilian applications, and—from some rare exceptions, such as LLE—are used only experimentally. This is mainly due to their relatively new character and short research application history in the field of movement evaluation. A secondary reason is the time-consuming computational algorithm and demanding interpretation of outcome variables by required by experienced experts. Although military and civilian applications of the mentioned method are closely related, no wider use for them has been found in the army. Fractal analysis is the only method employed in

### Parameters based on the analysis of relationship between measured variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>Categorization</th>
<th>Use in civilian applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inclination angle of the major axis of cyclogram</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry indexes</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination angle of the major axis of synchronized bilateral cyclogram</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Parameters based on the analysis of relationship between measured variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>Categorization</th>
<th>Use in civilian applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inclination angle of the major axis of cyclogram</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry indexes</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination angle of the major axis of synchronized bilateral cyclogram</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methods evaluating the relations between measured variables, which are used in the studies of motion symmetry can reveal the extent of injuries, the progress of rehabilitation, asymmetric the distribution of weight of equipment leading to faster exhaustion, or the impact of exoskeletons on the symmetry of gait.

Further prospective for these methods, including their wider usage, are related to further experiments verifying suitability of particular parameters within a required application (evaluation of stress in combat, rehabilitation efficiency in hospitals, etc). The above mentioned suggests that (MoCap systems and parameters of quantitative evaluation of motion) have great potential applications in a military context.

Resulting from the advantages and disadvantages above of individual methods and within the development of the FlexiGuard system, designed to monitor the physical and mental health state of soldiers, as well as rescue personnel (eg. for firefighters), the researchers decided to use accelerometers [89, 90]. The system was manufactured by the Faculty of Biomedical Engineering of the Czech Technical University in Prague. Based on information from the FlexiGuard system, the physical and mental health condition of a monitored person is assessed. In the case of the motion monitoring, body segment accelerations are measured by accelerometers. Particular sensors are placed on a specific body segment as required. In most cases, it means under a soldier’s uniform on his torso, head, and segments of the upper and lower limbs.

Individual sensors then monitor the motion of those individual body segments. The vector magnitude of the total acceleration is calculated from the measured acceleration values; three acceleration components are measured at any given point of time on individual axes of the three-axis accelerometer. The value of gravitational acceleration is then subtracted from the total value of acceleration, see Fig. 2.

Subsequently, the maximum values and magnitudes of the resulting acceleration vector for specific time slots are determined. This direct calculation of the magnitude of the acceleration vector means that it is not necessary to calibrate the sensor and to transform the data into another (non-anatomical) coordinate system of the segment. The calculated magnitude of the acceleration vector does not depend on the positioning of a sensor located on a particular anatomical point of the segment. This also eliminates the poor positioning of the sensor on the segment, assuming a body segment is rigid or stiff.

Subsequently, the specified data of maximum values and magnitudes of the acceleration vector are analyzed and the types of motions or physical activities are selected. Fundamental parameters and data from the systems located on soldiers’ bodies are compared to threshold values (standards) of the measured properties and provide information about the physical conditions of a proband (e.g. an estimate of energy expenditure). Such information is presented in a command visualization center and can be used immediately, for example, to support decision making or to optimize the profiling of training processes.

The expectation is that other parameters will also be used in the future, such as the frequency of peak amplitude within the data evaluation in frequency domain. The system proposed here allows for the long-term monitoring of a soldiers’ motion during combat deployment in accordance with the results of the review performed. The example illustrates that traditional methods have the potential for the application of other proposed methods.

Conclusions

Based on the analysis of evaluation methods, it is apparent that in most cases the designed methods can be widely utilized in military applications, primarily in the medical field and in the development of new features and components of equipment, including robotic systems.

This applies to the research of physical and mental conditions of soldiers during combat missions or during rehabilitation processes. The output of the study, confirmed by the researchers’ own experience and testing, is a proposal of methods which can be potentially applied under military conditions.

The authors believe that the outlined methods described in this article will instigate further use of appropriate methods for quantitative evaluation of posture and motion control during standing, gait and other activities in the military as well as the civilian sphere.
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