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Abstract. Unfired earth is a traditional building material, but it is less used than other building materials, such as concrete or steel. But the use of unfired earth is experiencing a renaissance. Rammed earth is a type of unfired earth and is usually used for load bearing structures. This paper describes an experimental determination of the tensile bending strength and compressive strength of the rammed earth specimens with known compositions. Mechanical properties are dependent on these compositions (kind of clay, amount of clay, amount of mixture water). Laboratory specimens were produced without inorganic binders or fibrous admixtures. We observed higher tensile bending strengths and lower compressive strengths in specimen mixtures containing more clay. The obtained results were evaluated in a context of a previous research. The results were also compared with results published by another author.
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1. Introduction
This paper presents results of a rammed earth research. The rammed earth research in Department of Mechanics at Czech Technical University in Prague is a primarily experimental one and it is focused on the dependence between the composition of the earth mixture and final rammed earth properties. In the first section, the paper describes the principle of the research and, in the second section, results of a specific part of the research are presented.

Rammed earth is an unfired earthen material created by pressing down layers of earthen mixtures into a formwork (Fig. 1). Unfired earth is one of the world’s oldest building materials, but, because of new and modern materials, it has been pushed into the background and nearly abandoned in the construction practice. However, there has been a growing interest in unfired earthen material’s resulting in more research [1–3].

Rammed earth represents just a small part of the construction industry, but there are companies who specialize in building modern rammed earth structures [4–6].

Rammed earth is usually used for load bearing structures, therefore, its mechanical properties are important. Rammed earth is usually not as strong as fired bricks, but the strength of a fired brick masonry is influenced by the strength of mortars. Because of this, the strengths of rammed earth and masonry structures could be comparable. But it is necessary to find a composition of earth mixture, which guarantees sufficient mechanical properties of rammed earth for the load-bearing structures [2, 7].

Merely one aspect of our research project (Section 2) is presented in this paper, which describes the experimental determination of the tensile bending strength and compressive strength for rammed earth containing montmorillonite clay. The results obtained were compared to a prior research [8–12].

The strength of rammed earth blocks are influenced by their composition, dry density and reinforcements or admixtures [2, 14].

Using earth from a construction site is undoubtedly the best path for sustainability in the building industry. However, the composition of earth varies from site to site and it requires a highly experienced and skilled civil engineer to design a load bearing structure, taking into consideration the specific composition he is working with. Interestingly, there has been a technical
At present, norms or methodologies do not exist for which hardens due to a hydraulic activity and, after water coefficient). When we can determine the final product properties, it is known. Calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$) is soluble in water that is saturated with carbon dioxide.

There are a number of previous investigations focused on the mechanical properties of rammed earth. At present, norms or methodologies do not exist for the design of unfired constructions in the Czech Republic and in many other countries [2, 14, 15, 18].

A reliable methodology for earth design will be very important for expanding of the unfired earth material industry. Knowledge of the mechanical properties of rammed earth depends on the analysis of the earth mixture’s composition. On the basis of such analyses, it would be possible to define the dependence of final rammed earth properties on the composition of their mixtures. In other words, a quality resource for the design of rammed earth structures can only be created when we can determine the final product properties for mixtures containing specific kinds and amounts of clay and specific amount of mixture water. The above described is illustrated in Figure 2 [2, 6].

The strength of a final material is one of the most important properties in the design of load bearing rammed earth structures. This is why this article focuses on tensile bending strength and compressive strength. These properties were investigated using laboratory-made earth mixtures of known compositions (specific clay types, sand and clay ratios, and water coefficient).

The biggest disadvantage of the unfired clay is the insufficient resistance to water. Therefore, many scientific investigations examine unfired clay containing inorganic binders (for example lime or cement), which improve the water resistance [19, 21].

Cement and lime increase the water resistance due to chemical reactions. Cement is a hydraulic binder, which hardens due to a hydraulic activity and, after setting, stays solid in water. The setting of lime is caused by carbonation. Calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$) is the product of carbonation and it is poorly soluble in water (solubility in water is approximately 0.01 g/L at 25°C). The clay minerals that act as a binder in unfired earthen materials acquire their strength only during the drying process and clay minerals lose strength due to the influence of moisture. Creating a water-repellent coating on the surface of unfired earthen material structures provides a good protection against the influence of moisture [2, 6, 22, 25].

Inorganic binders are not necessary for rammed earth constructions. Rammed earth can be sufficiently resistant to moisture. Historic buildings such as the Great Wall of China and historic buildings of lesser importance are sufficiently resistant to weathering. This is why these buildings provide a tangible evidence of the durability of rammed earth structures. Rammed earth is a sufficiently resistant material for the building industry, but only with suitable earth mixtures and correct structure designs. This is why our project was focused on the properties of rammed earth without additives [2, 20, 28].

2. Aim, Materials, and Methods

The main idea of our entire research is presented in this chapter. The obtained results were compared to a prior research listed on [3, 9].

The analysis of the relationship between the composition of an earth mixture and its final properties is important for creating a resource for rammed earth designs. This is the reason why we used different kinds and amounts of clay and different amounts of mix water for the production of the earth mixture in our laboratory. The kinds of clay together with the amount of mix water and clay, which are investigated, are listed in Table 1.

We also conduct an isotropy of the rammed earth specimens with mechanical properties investigated in parallel and perpendicular plane layers. The orientation of the loading force during experimental measurements described in this paper is shown in Figures 10 and 11.

For this paper, we measured the tensile bending and compressive strength of rammed earth specimens containing montmorillonite clay and different amount of mixture water (Table 3).

2.1. Procedure of Production of Earth Mixtures and Test Specimens

In general, earth mixtures for experiments are created in the laboratory and consist of three components: sand, clay, and mixture water. The sand acted as a filling agent, the clay functioned as a binder, and the water activated the clay’s bonding properties and enabled good earth mixture processing.

Firstly, it is necessary to design the compositions of the earth mixtures. A ratio of sand and clay is defined, and the amount of mixing water is defined using the water coefficient $W$. The water coefficient is defined as $W = \frac{w}{m}$, where $w$ is the amount of mixing water and $m$ is the amount of clay. This water coefficient is defined.
Kinds of clay

- montmorillonite
- illite
- illite-kaolinite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of mix water</th>
<th>0.295, 0.37, 0.4, 0.45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(defined by the water coefficient)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sand/clay ratio | 70/30, 75/25, 80/20, 85/25 |

Table 1. Variable components for the production of earth mixtures in our laboratory.

\[
W = \frac{m_w}{m_c}
\]

\(W\) - Water coefficient [–].
\(m_w\) - Weight of water [g].
\(m_c\) - Weight of clay [g].

Earth mixtures are manufactured by adding approximately 2/3 of the total quantity of the proposed mixing water to sand, reaching equilibrium moisture (environmental temperature 20°C, relative humidity 55%). Clay is added after that. Earth mixtures are mixed by hand as clay is added. The remaining amount of mixing water (approximately 1/3) is added after incorporating all of the clay and finally mixed using an electric drill and moulded by hand.

Layers of the earth mixture are pressed down using a steel block to steel moulds for created test specimens (Fig. 8). Each test specimen is usually formed by 5 layers. Tensile bending strengths and compressive strengths are tested on the specimens.

This procedure is same for all of the test specimens.

Three kinds of test specimens are created (20×20×100 mm, 40×40×160 mm, and octagon specimens with a 8.3 mm side and 70 mm long) (Fig. 4).

48 kinds of earth mixtures are scheduled for testing (combination of parameters listed in Table 1). Three test specimens are considered to be the minimum number for every experimental test, thus, 288 test specimens are designed only for the tensile bending strength and compressive strength experiments. The test specimen size was selected because of the large number of specimens needed and the difficulty of the production. It is necessary to investigate a wide range of test specimens with different compositions and subsequently verify the obtained results, which will better correspond to real structures.

Rammed earth mixtures usually contain gravel and stones, but our laboratory mixtures did not contain these components. The granularity of the filling agent was chosen with respect to the test specimens’ size.

2.2. Test Materials, Test Specimens and Experimental Measurement

The earth mixtures described in this paper were composed of siliceous sand, montmorillonite clay, and water. Montmorillonite clay is one of investigated clays of the entire research. The sand and clay ratio was chosen based on a previous experience with a processability of earth mixtures. A grain curve for the sand is provided in the Fig. 6. The specific composition of the used clay is listed in Table 2. The investigation of rammed earth of this composition is a part of the search for the optimal composition of earth mixture.
Mechanical properties of rammed earth with respect to clay mixture composition

Table 2. Composition of used clay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Montmorillonite (GEM)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>50.51</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illite (AGL)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>56.57</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illite-kaolinite (KR)</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>59.31</td>
<td>24.71</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Composition of clay mixture batches and number of test specimens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>Clay</th>
<th>Sand/clay</th>
<th>Water/clay</th>
<th>Number of test specimens [ks]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>Ratio [-]</td>
<td>40×40×160 mm</td>
<td>20×20×100 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Fig. 4 a))</td>
<td>(Fig. 4 b))</td>
<td>(Fig. 4 c))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMI</td>
<td>montmorillinite</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMII</td>
<td>montmorillinite</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMIII</td>
<td>montmorillinite</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three earth mixtures were manufactured (GEMI, GEMII, GEMIII). The earth mixtures varied in terms of the amounts of montmorillonite clay and mixing water. Test specimens were manufactured from these earth mixtures (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6).

The specific compositions and numbers of all earth mixture sets are shown in Table 3. The test specimens were pressed manually.

The 40×40×160 mm specimens were pressed using a steel block (20×50×109 mm, 853.5 g) (Fig. 5). The smaller test specimens were pressed using a steel block 12×40×65 mm and 244 g.

The specimens were taken out of moulds immediately after the manufacturing. Tensile bending strengths and compressive strengths were tested after the equilibrium moisture was reached in the laboratory environment (20°C, relative humidity 55%), minimally 40 days after the manufacturing. The exact sizes of all specimens were measured using a digital calliper.

Tensile bending strength was tested on 4 GEMI specimens, 6 GEMII specimens, and 4 GEMIII specimens. The compressive strength of the GEMI and GEMII sets was tested on block-shaped specimens (20×20×100 mm). Compressive strength for the GEMIII set was tested using specimens with an octagon base (8.3×70 mm). The orientation of the loading force during the compressive strength tests was parallel to the plane layers (Fig. 11).

2.2.1. Material tested in previous research

The results published in this paper are compared with the results of our previous research published in [8] and [9]. The results of this previous research were obtained by the same experimental measurement as described in the chapter 2.2. The earth mixture of previous research with a set code S containing the same amount of sand and clay ratios and the same value of the water coefficients like the GEM mixtures. The only difference between the GEM and S mixtures was the type of the clay. Illitic-kaolinitic clay was used for the S mixtures.

3. Results and Discussion

There were minimally 4 test specimens for every tensile bending strength and compressive strength tests. Averages and standard deviations were calculated from the measured values. Since clay is a binder in earth mixtures, it was assumed that the strength of the rammed earth would increase as the amount of clay increased.

3.1. Tensile Bending Strength

The tensile bending strength measured for the GEMI specimens is shown in Table 4. The average tensile
bending strength was 0.38 MPa and the standard deviation was 0.04 MPa (approximately 10.5\% of the calculated average tensile bending strength).

The measured tensile bending strength for the GEMII specimens is shown in Table 5. The average tensile bending strength was 0.39 MPa and the standard deviation was 0.04 MPa (approximately 10.2\% of the calculated average tensile bending strength).

The measured tensile bending strength for the GEM III set specimens is shown in Table 6. The average tensile bending strength was 0.35 MPa and the standard deviation was 0.03 MPa (approximately 8.6\% of the calculated average tensile bending strength).
By comparing GEMI and GEMII set results manufactured with the same water coefficients \( W = m_{wc}/m_c \) and differentiating just in the amount of montmorillonite clay, we find that the GEMII set had the highest tensile bending strength (Fig. 12). The average tensile bending strength for the GEMI set (sand/clay ratio 80/20) was 0.38 MPa, for the GEMII set (sand/clay ratio 75/25), it was 0.39 MPa. The GEMII set contained 5% more clay than the GEMI set. We can, therefore, assume that the tensile bending strength of specimens with the percent amount of clay \(^2\) on an interval \(<20, 25>\) rose as the amount of montmorillonitic clay in specimens increased. We can assume, based on the previous research, that the described dependence between the clay amount in the mixture and the resulting tensile bending strength will be valid even on a wider interval \([8]\).

The GEMII and GEMIII sets contained the same amount of clay (sand/clay ratio 75/25) and different water coefficients. The GEMII set with a higher water coefficient (\( W = 0.37 \)) attained a tensile bending strength of 0.39 MPa. The GEMIII set with a lower water coefficient (\( W = 0.295 \)) attained a value of 0.35 MPa (Fig. 13). It can be assumed that the high water coefficient in the interval of \(<0.295, 0.37>\), while using the montmorillonitic clay, had a positive influence on the resulting tensile bending strength.

It was necessary to place the results into the context of other experimental measurements. In \([8]\), we conducted the same experiment for mixtures with set codes SI, SII, and SIV. The only difference between the GEM and S mixtures is in the type of clay. Illitic-kaolinitic clay was used for the S mixtures and montmorillonitic clay for the GEM mixtures.

The values attained for the tensile bending strength S sets illustrate the same trend of a dependence of

---

\(^2\)Percentage amount of clay in the dry mix i.e., clay mixture without water.
strength on the amounts of clay used. The tensile bending strength increased with an increasing clay amount for both S and GEM sets. In contrast, the dependence of strength on the amounts of mixture water seems to have been inverse for the S and GEM sets. For the GEM sets, tensile bending strength increased with a greater amount of mixture water, but the S sets exhibited an opposite trend.[8].

### 3.2. Compressive Strength

The values attained for GEMI set’s compressive strength are listed in Table 7. The average compressive strength was 1.27 MPa and the standard deviation was 0.16 MPa (approximately 12.6% of the average strength).

The values attained for compressive strength are listed in Table 8. The average compressive strength was 1.21 MPa and the standard deviation was 0.17 MPa (approximately 14% of the average strength).

The compressive strength for the GEMIII set was measured on four specimens. Compressive strength for specimen Nr. 2 was distinctly higher than the compressive strength of other specimens (approximately by 50%). The compressive strength for specimen Nr. 2 was, for this reason, excluded from further statistical processing. The average compressive strength was 1.42 MPa and the standard deviation was 0.16 MPa (approx. 11.3% of the average strength) (Table 9).

Regarding the dependence of the compressive strength on the amount of clay used, our results indicate that compressive strength decreases with an increasing amount of clay for the percentage amount of the montmorillonite clay <20, 25> interval (Fig. 14). The GEMI set (sand/clay ratio 80/20) contained 5% less clay than the GEMII set (sand/clay ratio 75/25). The compressive strength of the GEMI set attained a value of 1.27 MPa while the GEMII compressive strength was only 1.21 MPa. A positive dependence was not confirmed in this case.

The GEMII and GEMIII sets contained the same amount of clay (sand/clay ratio 75/25) but a different amount of mixture water. The GEMII water coefficient was 0.37 and the GEMIII water coefficient was 0.295. The average compressive strength for the GEMII set was 1.21 MPa and 1.42 MPa for the GEMIII set. Based on these results, we can state that the higher water coefficient interval <0.295, 0.37> had a negative impact on the final compressive strength with montmorillonite clay specimens (Fig. 15).

3.2. Compressive Strength

The values attained for GEMI set’s compressive strength are listed in Table 7. The average compressive strength was 1.27 MPa and the standard deviation was 0.16 MPa (approximately 12.6% of the average strength).

The values attained for compressive strength are listed in Table 8. The average compressive strength was 1.21 MPa and the standard deviation was 0.17 MPa (approximately 14% of the average strength).

The compressive strength for the GEMIII set was measured on four specimens. Compressive strength for specimen Nr. 2 was distinctly higher than the compressive strength of other specimens (approximately by 50%). The compressive strength for specimen Nr. 2 was, for this reason, excluded from further statistical processing. The average compressive strength was 1.42 MPa and the standard deviation was 0.16 MPa (approx. 11.3% of the average strength) (Table 9).

Regarding the dependence of the compressive strength on the amount of clay used, our results indicate that compressive strength decreases with an increasing amount of clay for the percentage amount of the montmorillonite clay <20, 25> interval (Fig. 14). The GEMI set (sand/clay ratio 80/20) contained 5% less clay than the GEMII set (sand/clay ratio 75/25). The compressive strength of the GEMI set attained a value of 1.27 MPa while the GEMII compressive strength was only 1.21 MPa. A positive dependence was not confirmed in this case.

The GEMII and GEMIII sets contained the same amount of clay (sand/clay ratio 75/25) but a different amount of mixture water. The GEMII water coefficient was 0.37 and the GEMIII water coefficient was 0.295. The average compressive strength for the GEMII set was 1.21 MPa and 1.42 MPa for the GEMIII set. Based on these results, we can state that the higher water coefficient interval <0.295, 0.37> had a negative impact on the final compressive strength with montmorillonite clay specimens (Fig. 15).

Regarding the tensile bending tests, we tested compressive strengths in [9] for the SI, SII, and SIV sets. The only difference between the GEM and S mixtures was in the type of clay (illite-kaolinite clay for S mixtures). The values acquired for the compressive strength for the GEM sets had the same dependence of compressive strength on the amount of clay as for the S sets (interval of the percentage amount of mont-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test specimens</th>
<th>Size [mm]</th>
<th>Sand/clay ratio</th>
<th>Water/clay ratio</th>
<th>Tensile bending strength [MPa]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GEMIII - 1</td>
<td>40/40/160</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMIII - 2</td>
<td>40/40/160</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMIII - 3</td>
<td>40/40/160</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMIII - 4</td>
<td>40/40/160</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average tensile bending strength 0.35
Standard deviation 0.03

Table 6. The tensile bending strength of the GEMIII set - the test specimens 40×40×160 mm.
### Table 7. The compressive strength of the set GEMI - the test specimens 20×20×100 mm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test specimens</th>
<th>Size [mm]</th>
<th>Sand/clay ratio</th>
<th>Water/clay ratio</th>
<th>Compressive strength [MPa]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GEMI - 1</td>
<td>20/20/100</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMI - 2</td>
<td>20/20/100</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMI - 3</td>
<td>20/20/100</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMI - 4</td>
<td>20/20/100</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average compressive strength** 1.27  
**Standard deviation** 0.16

### Table 8. The compressive strength of the set GEMII - the test specimens 20×20×100 mm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test specimens</th>
<th>Size [mm]</th>
<th>Sand/clay ratio</th>
<th>Water/clay ratio</th>
<th>Compressive strength [MPa]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GEMII - 1</td>
<td>20/20/100</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMII - 2</td>
<td>20/20/100</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMII - 3</td>
<td>20/20/100</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMII - 4</td>
<td>20/20/100</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMII - 5</td>
<td>20/20/100</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMII - 6</td>
<td>20/20/100</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average compressive strength** 1.21  
**Standard deviation** 0.17

### Table 9. The compressive strength of the set GEMIII - the test specimens with an octagonal plinth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test specimens</th>
<th>Size [mm]</th>
<th>Sand/clay ratio</th>
<th>Water/clay ratio</th>
<th>Compressive strength [MPa]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GEMIII - 1</td>
<td>length 70</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>side of octagon 8.3 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMIII - 2</td>
<td>length 70</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>side of octagon 8.3 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMIII - 3</td>
<td>length 70</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>side of octagon 8.3 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMIII - 4</td>
<td>length 70</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>side of octagon 8.3 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average compressive strength** 1.42  
*(the compressive strength of sample 2 is excluded)*  
**Standard deviation** 0.16  
*(the compressive strength of sample 2 is excluded)*

Table 9. The compressive strength of the set GEMIII - the test specimens with an octagonal plinth.
morillonite clay $<$20, 25$>). Compressive strength decreased with a lower amount of clay [9].

The dependence of compressive strength on the amount of mixture water was opposite when compared with the S sets. The compressive strength of the GEM sets decreased with an increasing amount of mixture water, while the compressive strength of the S sets increased [9].

### 3.3. Comparison of Results with Previous Research

Our experimental measurements showed that the interval of tensile bending strength for rammed earth with montmorillonite clay ranged from 0.35 MPa to 0.39 MPa. The interval of compressive strength of the same materials ranged from 1.21 MPa to 1.42 MPa (amounts of clay from 20% to 25% and water coefficients $W \in < 0.295, 0.37 >$). The results are summarized in Table [10] and in Figures [16] and [17].

Experimental measurements proved that tensile bending strength for the specimens with montmorillonite clay as a bonding agent was significantly lower than compressive strength. The computed dependences between tensile bending strength and compressive strength for the specimens tested is shown in Equation [2]

$$R_{GEM,c} = R_{GEM,t} \cdot (4.5 \div 5)$$ (2)

$R_{GEM,c}$ - Compressive strength of the GEM sets [MPa].

$R_{GEM,t}$ - Tensile bending strength of the GEM sets [MPa].

In comparison to experiments carried out with the S sets in the past ([8] and [9]), the difference between the tensile bending strength and the compressive strength was higher. The dependences between the tensile bending strength and the compressive strength for the S sets is described by Equation [3]

$$R_{S,c} = R_{S,t} \cdot (3.3 \div 4.1)$$ (3)

$R_{S,c}$ - Compressive strength of S set [MPa].

$R_{S,t}$ - Tensile bending strength of S set [MPa].

It can be stated that the results acquired confirm the trend observed during the testing of the S set mechanical properties. It seems that when the percentage amount of clay is in the interval (20, 25), the tensile bending strength rises as the amount of clay increases while compressive strength drops (Fig. [16] Fig. [17]).

If additional results for experimental measurements do not disprove the trend we observed, it is necessary to state the clay amount interval in order to acquire complex characteristics for unfired rammed earth materials for which the described dependences of material strength on the amount of clay used is valid.

It was found that the tensile bending strength of the test specimens, which contain the montmorillonite clay and with a mixture water amount in the interval of $W \in < 0.295, 0.37 >$, increases with an increasing water coefficient, while the compressive strength
### Table 10. Summary of experimental results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test specimens</th>
<th>Sand/clay ratio</th>
<th>Water/clay ratio</th>
<th>Tensile bending strength [MPa]</th>
<th>Compressive strength [MPa]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GEMI 80/20</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.38 (± 0.04)</td>
<td>1.27 (± 0.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMII 75/25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.39 (± 0.04)</td>
<td>1.21 (± 0.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMIII 75/25</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.35 (± 0.03)</td>
<td>1.42 (± 0.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tensile bending strength (0.35–0.39 MPa) and the compressive strength (1.21–1.42 MPa) were lower than many results obtained by other researchers [8, 10–12, 29], so we compared our results with a doctoral dissertation [10].

The reason for this choice was that the dissertation used similar experimental measures, includes the same testing methods and sizes of test specimens (40×40×160 mm). Earth for the experiments described in [10] was acquired from Claygar s.r.o. and contained kaolinite clay, with montmorillonite clay in minor quantities. Earth mixtures in [10] were labeled C_S40/W10, C_S30/W10, C_S30/W11, C_S30/W12, C_S30/W14.

Figures 18 and 19 show results of tensile bending and compressive strength compared to the results in [10]. There is a significant difference between results, most likely because a different amount and kinds of clay as well as a different amount of mixing water were used. This illustrates the importance of the composition of earth mixtures.

The results in [10] show that tensile bending strength increases with an increasing amount of mixing water (Fig. 18, blue part of the graph). These results correspond to our results.

### 4. Conclusions

Further research into the composition of rammed earth mixtures is necessary for a future development of the earthen materials industry. The results presented in this paper represent just a part of a more complex research project focused on a closer exhumation of rammed earth properties and composition.

---

3 Applies to the water coefficient and clay amount interval.
In our research project, tensile bending strength 0.35±0.39 MPa and compressive strength 1.21±1.42 MPa were obtained. This values are smaller than in many other investigations. The comparison of our results with [10] shows that the composition of earth mixtures should be a starting point of future investigations.

Our future research will be supplemented by investigations of additional rammed earth specimens containing other kinds of clay and water coefficient intervals.

**LIST OF SYMBOLS**

- \( W \) Water coefficient [-]
- \( m_w \) Weight of water [g]
- \( m_c \) Weight of clay [g]
- \( m_s \) Weight of sand [g]
- \( R_{GEM,c} \) Compressive strength of GEM set [MPa]
- \( R_{GEM,t} \) Tensile bending strength of GEM set [MPa]
- \( R_{S,c} \) Compressive strength of S set [MPa]
- \( R_{S,t} \) Tensile bending strength of S set [MPa]
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